

#### Jaspal Singh

S/o Sh. Surjit Singh, House no. 157, Street No. 8-A, Manjit Nagar, Bhadson Road, Patiala-147001(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

#### Public Information Officer,

**O/o** The Managing Director, PEPSU Road Transport Corporation, Patiala(Punjab)

#### First Appellate Authority,

**O/o** The Managing Director, PEPSU Road Transport Corporation, Patiala(Punjab)

i)

...Respondents

## Appeal Case No. 1213 of 2019

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.

- Sh. Prem Lal, Deputy Controller(F & A);
- ii) Sh. Narinder Kumar, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 24.07.2019 and another opportunity was

given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not. He was also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned. A show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to Public Information Officer of office of Managing Director, PEPSU Road Transport Corporation, Patiala,

Sh. Prem Lal, Deputy Controller(F & A) and Sh. Narinder Kumar, Senior Assistant, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally state that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Jaspal Singh vide letters dated 20.08.2019, 06.09.2019 and 09.09.2019 as per official record.

They also submit a reply vide letter no. 5244 dated 10.09.2019 signed by Public Information Officer office of PEPSU Road Transport Corporation, Patiala, in reply to the show-cause issued to the concerned PIO vide order dated 24.07.2019, requesting that the show-cause issued be dropped. It is taken on record.

#### Appeal Case No. 1213 of 2019 -2-

The appellant, Sh. Jaspal Singh, through a letter dated 11.09.2019, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case, appended to which is. He has also appended another letter dated 09.09.2019, intimating the Commission that the information at point no. 01 and 02 is of 430 pages as per letter no. 11893 dated 22.01.2019 of the respondent but only 320 pages have been given to him, moreover, information at point no. 03 to 10 has not been received till date. It is taken on record.

Another opportunity is given to both the parties (the respondent PIO and the appellant) to represent this case in person or through their representative on the next date of hearing so that the facts of this case could be cleared.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 26<sup>th</sup> November, 2019(Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2019



Jagjit Singh S/o Sh. Hukam Singh, R/o House no. 459-A, Sector 61, Chandigarh

....Complainant

V/s

**Public Information Officer, O/o** The Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training, Punjab,

Sector 36-A, Chandigarh

...Respondents

# Complaint Case No. 379 of 2019

Present :

None on behalf of the appellant.

- i) Sh. Harpal Singh, Deputy Director-cum-PIO,;
- ii) Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Reader, on behalf of the respondent.

## <u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 23.07.2019 and the complainant was advised to point out deficiencies, if any, on receipt of the formation and send the same to the respondent PIO through registered post with a copy to the Commission.

The complainant, Sh. Jagjit Singh, is not present in today's hearing.

Sh. Harpal Singh, Deputy Director-cum-PIO, who appeared in person

alongwith Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Reader, in today's hearing, seeks an adjournment in this case.

Another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to submit present

status report of the instant complaint case on the next date of hearing positively.

An opportunity is also given to the complainant to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing

The case is <u>adjourned to 26<sup>th</sup> November, 2019(Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019



#### Tarsem Lal

S/o Sh. Nand Lal, House No. 202, Sunder Nagar, Bank Colony, Near Angura Wala Bagh, Pathankot -145001 (Punjab)

....Complainant

V/s

#### **Public Information Officer**

O/o The Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training, Punjab, Sector 36-A, Chandigarh

i)

...Respondents

# Complaint Case No. 351 of 2019

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.

- Sh. Harpal Singh, Deputy Director-cum-PIO,;
- ii) Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Reader, on behalf of the respondent.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and an opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information/reply sent by the respondent PIO vide letter no. 680 dated 08.04.2019 or not. He was also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

Sh. Harpal Singh, Deputy Director-cum-PIO, who appeared in person alongwith Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Reader, in today's hearing, orally states that in response to the RTI request, a reply has been sent to the appellant, Sh. Tarsem Lal vide letter no. 680 dated 08.04.2019 through registered post and the appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to him till date.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 1501 dated Nil signed by State Public Information Officer of office of Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training, Punjab, Chandigarh, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 16368 dated 27.08.2019, showing that the appellant, Sh. Tarsem Lal has not pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to him till date. It is taken on record.

The complainant, Sh. Tarsem Lal, was absent on the last date of hearing, held on 22.07.2019 and he is again absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. He has also not approached the Commission with any contrary claim in that regard. Complaint Case No. 351 of 2019

-2-

In view of the above, it is assumed that the complainant does not wish to pursue this case further and hence the case is <u>disposed of and closed.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019



#### **Manmohan Singh**

S/o Sh. Mohinder Singh, C/o Sh. Shashi Bhushan (Advocate), Shed Seat No. 8, Yadvindra Court Complex, Patiala (Punjab)

....Complainant

V/s

#### Public Information Officer,

O/o The Principal Secretary to Government of Punjab, Deptt. Of Technical Education & Industrial Training, Pb. Mini Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

#### Complaint Case No. 349 of 2019

Present : None on behalf of the appellant. Sh. Rajinder Singh, Senior Assistant of Tech. Edu. – 1 Branch, on behalf of the respondent.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and last opportunity was given to the respondent-PIO to supply the certified copies of complete information to the appellant through registered post within one week from that day and submit a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission. If any information is not givable/available in the official record, he/she (the respondent-PIO) will file a written-reply in the Commission.

Sh. Rajinder Singh, Senior Assistant of Tech. Edu. - 1 Branch, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 1549890/1 that available information at point no. 01, 02 and 03 of the RTI request, has been supplied to the complainant, Sh. Manmohan Singh and rest of the information at point no. 04, 05 and 06 of the RTI request, could not be supplied to the complainant as enquiry report has not been submitted by the enquiry officer. It is taken on record.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 1508455/2 dated 25.06.2019 signed by Superintendent-cum-PIO of Tech. Edu. – 1 Branch, which was received in the Commission vide Diary No. 12466 dated 28.06.2019, has intimated the Commission that a reply alongwith available information has already been supplied to the complainant and part information could not be supplied to the complainant as enquiry report has not been submitted by the enquiry officer. It, alongwith annexure, is placed in the case-file.

## Complaint Case No. 349 of 2019

The complainant, Sh. Manmohan Singh, is not present in today's hearing He has neither pointed out any deficiency in the information/reply given to him, to the respondent-PIO, nor approached the Commission with any contrary claim in that regard.

In view of the above, it is assumed that the complainant does not wish to pursue his case further and hence the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019

#### PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Point States Antiput

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120 Email: <u>psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u> <u>Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab</u>

#### Surjit Singh S/o Sh. Rattan Singh,

Sh. Charanjit Singh, S/o Sh. Joginder Singh, Sh. Sanjay Dhingra, S/o Sh. Raj Kumar, R/o Talwandi Road, Near Old Bus Stand, Faridkot - 151203 (Punjab)

...Appellant

... Respondents

#### Public Information Officer,

**O/o** The S. D. E., Central Works, Sub Divisional No. 1, PWD (B & R), Ferozepur(Punjab)

#### First Appellate Authority,

**O/o** The Chief Engineer, Central Works, PWD (B & R), Chandigarh

## Appeal Case No. 811 of 2019

V/s

Present :	Sh. Shivam Malhotra, Advocate, the appellant in person.
	i) Sh. Satish Kumar Goel, Executive Engineer, in person ;
	ii) Sh. Manpritam Singh, Sub Divisional Engineer, in person, for respondent.
ORDER	

This case was last heard on 02.09.2019 and Sh. Satish Kumar Goel, Executive Engineer and Sh. Manpritam Singh, Sub Divisional Engineer, appeared in person and submitted a reply vide letter no. 1465 dated 01.08.2019 signed by Executive Engineer, Central Works Division, PWD (B & R), Ferozepur, showing that in compliance to the order dated 22.07.2019, the required information had been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Surjit Singh vide letter no. 1464 dated 01.08.2019 as per official record through registered post. Ms. Sonakshi Sharma, Advocate, appeared on behalf of the appellant on that day and sought an adjournment in the instant appeal case.

Sh. Satish Kumar Goel, Executive Engineer and Sh. Manpritam Singh, Sub

Divisional Engineer, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally state that the required information has been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Surjit Singh vide letter no. 1464 dated 01.08.2019 as per official record through registered post. He also hands over a copy of the information alongwith site-plans and maps to Sh. Shivam Malhotra, Advocate (representative of the appellant) during the hearing in the Commission. A copy of the supplied information alongwith site-plans with postal receipt is placed in case-file.

Sh. Shivam Malhotra, Advocate, who appeared on behalf of the appellant, Sh. Surjit Singh and others in today's hearing, through a letter dated 11.09.2019 has acknowledged that he has received the requisite information and satisfied with the same. It is taken on record.

Since the information stands supplied, the case is <u>disposed of and closed.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019



Surjit Singh S/o Sh. Rattan Singh, Sh. Charanjit Singh, S/o Sh. Joginder Singh,

Sh. Sanjay Dhingra, S/o Sh. Raj Kumar, R/o Talwandi Road, Near Old Bus Stand, Faridkot - 151203 (Punjab)

#### Public Information Officer,

**O/o** The Executive Engineer, Central Works Division. PWD (B & R), Ferozepur(Punjab)

#### First Appellate Authority,

**O/o** The Superintending Engineer, Central Works Division, PWD (B & R), Ferozepur(Punjab)

i)

... Appellant

Appeal Case No. 762 of 2019

... Respondents

Present :

Sh. Shivam Malhotra, Advocate, the appellant in person. Sh. Satish Kumar Goel, Executive Engineer, in person ;

V/s

Sh. Manpritam Singh, Sub Divisional Engineer, in person, for respondent. ii)

#### ORDER

This case was last heard on 02.09.2019 and Sh. Satish Kumar Goel, Executive

Engineer and Sh. Manpritam Singh, Sub Divisional Engineer, appeared in person and submitted a reply vide letter no. 1465 dated 01.08.2019 signed by Executive Engineer, Central Works Division, PWD (B & R), Ferozepur, showing that in compliance to the order dated 22.07.2019, the required information had been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Surjit Singh vide letter no. 1464 dated 01.08.2019 as per official record through registered post. Ms. Sonakshi Sharma, Advocate, appeared on behalf of the appellant on that day and sought an adjournment in the instant appeal case.

Sh. Satish Kumar Goel, Executive Engineer and Sh. Manpritam Singh, Sub

Divisional Engineer, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally state that the required information has been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Surjit Singh vide letter no. 1464 dated 01.08.2019 as per official record through registered post. He also hands over a copy of the information alongwith site-plans and maps to Sh. Shivam Malhotra, Advocate (representative of the appellant) during the hearing in the Commission. A copy of the supplied information alongwith site-plans/Maps with postal receipt is placed in case-file.

Sh. Shivam Malhotra, Advocate, who appeared on behalf of the appellant, Sh. Surjit Singh and others in today's hearing, through a letter dated 11.09.2019 has acknowledged that he has received the requisite information and satisfied with the same. It is taken on record.

> Since the information stands supplied, the case is disposed of and closed. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

#### (Sanjiv Garg) **State Information Commissioner** Puniab

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019



#### Rajesh Kumar S/o Sh. Dev Raj,

Ward No. 5, Near Hind Hospital, Ahmedgarh, Tehsil Ahmedgarh, Sangrur, Punjab (148021)

....Complainant

...Respondents

#### Public Information Officer,

**O/o** The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur (Punjab)

# Complaint Case No. 369 of 2019

Present :

ORDER

Sh. Rajesh Kumar, the complainant in person. Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Assistant Transport Officer, on behalf of the respondent.

V/s

This case was last heard on 23.07.2019 and another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission. The appellant was advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO with a copy to the Commission.

The complainant, Sh. Rajesh Kumar, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far.

Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Assistant Transport Officer, who appeared in today's hearing, orally states that he has came to attend hearing in another case but he is not aware about the instant appeal case as no RTI request or notice of the hearing, issued by the Commission has been received in the office.

Accordingly, a copy of the RTI request has been handed over to him during the hearing in the Commission today with the directions to facilitate the complainant to inspect the relevant official record on 25.09.2019 at 11:00 A. M. The complainant is also advised to visit the office of the respondent and avail the opportunity to inspect the relevant official record on 25.09.2019 at 11:00 A. M to identify the required information.

Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Assistant Transport Officer, would remain present during the inspection of the relevant official record.

Both the parties mutually agreed to it during the hearing today.

The respondent-PIO concerned is also directed to file a point wise reply to each and every query, raised by the complainant through his RTI request by or on the next date of hearing.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

> > (Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019

V/s



## Manjit Singh

S/o Sh. Sohan Singh, 388/13, Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

# Public Information Officer,

**O/o** The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur (Punjab)

# First Appellate Authority,

**O/o** The State Transport Commissioner, Pb., Jiwandeep Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh

...Respondents

# Appeal Case No. 1046 of 2019

Present : Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant in person. Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Assistant Transport Officer, on behalf of the respondent.

## <u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and last opportunity was given to the respondent-PIO to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post and submit a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission. Last opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO.

Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Assistant Transport Officer, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 6138 dated 10.09.2019 signed by Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur, showing that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh vide letter no. 5930 dated 19.07.2019 through registered post. It is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that he has received information in connection with point no. 01, 02, 03 and 04 of the RTI request but whatever information has been given to him is uncertified. He further states that he has not received information in connection with point no. 05, 06 and 07 of the RTI request till date.

The respondent-PIO concerned is directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 25.09.2019 at 10:00 A. M. The appellant is also advised to visit the office of the respondent and avail the opportunity to inspect the relevant official record on 25.09.2019 at 10:00 A. M to identify the required information.

#### Appeal Case No. 1046 of 2019

Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Assistant Transport Officer, would remain present during the inspection of the relevant official record.

Both the parties mutually agreed to it during the hearing today.

-2-

The respondent-PIO concerned is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection, to him by the next date of hearing. He is also directed to get the copies of the information certified, which were already given to the appellant in uncertified manner.

> The case is **adjourned to 27<sup>th</sup> November**, **2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.** Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019

V/s



#### Manjit Singh

S/o Sh. Sohan Singh, 388/13, Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

# Public Information Officer,

**O/o** The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur (Punjab)

# First Appellate Authority,

**O/o** The State Transport Commissioner, Pb., Jiwandeep Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh

...Respondents

# Appeal Case No. 1047 of 2019

Present : Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant in person. Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Assistant Transport Officer, on behalf of the respondent.

## <u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and last opportunity was given to the respondent-PIO to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post and submit a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission. Last opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO.

Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Assistant Transport Officer, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 6138 dated 10.09.2019 signed by Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur, showing that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh vide letter no. 5930 dated 19.07.2019 through registered post. It is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that he has received information in connection with point no. 01, 02, 03 and 04 of the RTI request but whatever information has been given to him is uncertified. He further states that he has not received information in connection with point no. 05, 06 and 07 of the RTI request till date.

The respondent-PIO concerned is directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 25.09.2019 at 10:00 A. M. The appellant is also advised to visit the office of the respondent and avail the opportunity to inspect the relevant official record on 25.09.2019 at 10:00 A. M to identify the required information.

#### Appeal Case No. 1047 of 2019 -2-

Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Assistant Transport Officer, would remain present during the inspection of the relevant official record.

Both the parties mutually agreed to it during the hearing today.

The respondent-PIO concerned is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection, to him by the next date of hearing. He is also directed to get the copies of the information certified, which were already given to the appellant in uncertified manner.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019



Baljeet Singh (Civil Engineer), 64 – H, B. R. S. Nagar, Ludhiana -141012(Punjab) ....Appellant V/s i) **Public Information Officer**, O/o The Commissioner. Municipal Corporation, Zone-D, Ludhiana (Punjab) **Public Information Officer**, ii) O/o The Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana (Punjab) First Appellate Authority, O/o The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana(Punjab) ...Respondents Appeal Case No. 1340 of 2019 None on behalf of the appellant. Present :

None on behalf of the respondent.

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and Public Information Officer of office of Improvement Trust, Ludhiana was impleaded as necessary party in this case as the information sought for by the appellant through RTI request is regarding correspondence between Lodhi Club and Improvement Trust and vice-versa but the appellant has sent RTI request in the name of Public Information Officer office of Municipal Corporation, Zone-D, Ludhiana.

The appellant, Sh. Baljeet Singh , is not present in today's hearing.

The respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 6771 dated 10.09.2019

signed by Public Information Officer-cum- Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail dated 10.09.2019, has intimated the Commission that the information sought for by the appellant through RTI request relates with Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana and the appellant, through a telephonic call, has also confirmed that the information sought for by him through RTI request relates with Municipal Corporation, sought for by him through RTI request relates with Municipal Corporation sought for by him through RTI request relates with Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana and the appellant, through RTI request relates with Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana is the sought for by him through RTI request relates with Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana. It is taken on record.

Nevertheless, another opportunity is given to the parties to represent this case in person or through their representative, on the next date of hearing positively so that the facts of this case could be cleared or the case would be decided on merits.

The case is adjourned to 27th November, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019



# Sarabjit Singh

S/o Late Sh. Harbans Singh, House no. 292, Nagar Sudhar Trust Colony, Sakeem No. 5, Jail Road, Gurdaspur (Punjab)

....Appellant

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer, O/o The Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur(Punjab)

#### First Appellate Authority,

**O/o** The Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1388 of 2019 None on behalf of the appellant.

Present : None on behalf of the appellant. None on behalf of the respondent.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 23.07.2019 and last opportunity was given to the respondent-PIO to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post and submit a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission. Last opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO.

The appellant, Sh. Sarabjit Singh, through a letter dated 02.09.2019,

which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated he Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. Through that letter, he has also intimated the Commission that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far. It is taken on record.

Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing. He has also not sent any intimation regarding his non-availability. The Commission took a serious view of this casual approach of the Respondent/ PIO.

After examining the documents placed on record, it also emerges that the requisite information has not been supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker even after a period of three months.

In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act is issued to Public Information Officer concerned of office of Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Contd...2/-

#### Appeal Case No. 1388 of 2019

The PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him exparte. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post.

The respondent PIO concerned is also directed to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address before the next date of hearing and produce a copy of the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the appellant, before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned. He is also advised to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019



Surinder Kumar S/o Sh. Lal Chand, R/o Block No. D /8-501, Maya Garden City, Zirakpur, Distt.S.A.S Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

....Complainant

**Public Information Officer, O/o** The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda (Punjab)

...Respondents

# Complaint Case No. 1136 of 2018

V/s

Present : None on behalf of the appellant. None on behalf of the respondent.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

(Regd. Post)

This case was last heard on 16.07.2019 and Sh. Tejinder Singh,

Advocate (representative of the respondent PIO) had failed to produce any document, which shows that the required documents have been sent to the complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar through registered post and the respondent PIO concerned was directed to send the required information alongwith annexure to the complainant through registered post at his residential address within three weeks from that day and produce a copy of the postal receipt alongwith copy of the information supplied in the Commission. An opportunity was also given to the Complainant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

The Complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar, is not present in today's hearing.

Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing. He has also not sent any intimation regarding his non-availability. The Commission took a serious view of this casual approach of the Respondent/ PIO.

In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act is issued to Public Information Officer concerned of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI appellant and why the compensation be not awarded to the informationseeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

The PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be

## Complaint Case No. 1136 of 2019

presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte. <u>A copy of this order be sent to him through registered</u> <u>post</u>.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing or the case would be decided on merits.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019



#### Sawinder Singh

S/o Sh. Bal Singh, VPO - Shutrana, Tehsil Patran, Distt. Patiala -147105 (Punjab)

V/s

....Appellant

#### Public Information Officer,

**O/o** The Executive Engineer, Central Works Division, PWD B&R, Pb., Sangrur at Patiala (Punjab)

## First Appellate Authority,

ii)

**O/o** The Superintending Engineer, Central Works Circle, PWD B&R, Pb., 17- Bays Building, Room No. 6-7, Backside Head Office, Sector -17, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

# Appeal Case No. 1427 of 2019

Sh. Prateek Singh, Sub Divisional Engineer, for the respondent.

Present : Sh. Sawinder Singh, the appellant in person.

i) Sh. N. P. Singh, Executive Engineer, in person ;

# ORDER

This case was last heard on 23.07.2019 and the appellant stated that he has received incomplete information, accordingly, he was advised to point out deficiencies and send the same to the respondent PIO through registered post with a copy to the Commission. A show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was also issued to Public Information Officer office of Executive Engineer, Central Works Division, PWD B&R, Sangrur at Patiala.

Sh. N. P. Singh, Executive Engineer, who appeared in person alongwith Sh. Prateek Singh, Sub Divisional Engineer, in today's hearing, orally states that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Sawinder Singh vide letter no. 1484 dated 27.06.2019 and again vide letter no. 2748 dated 02.09.2019. A copy of the forwarding letter of the same(vide letter no. 1485 dated 27.06.2019 ) has been received in the Commission vide Diary No.14312 dated 25.07.2019. The reply vide letter no. 2748 dated 02.09.2019 is also placed in the case-file.

#### Appeal Case No. 1427 of 2019 -2-

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that in compliance to the order dated 23.07.2019, the respondent PIO has sent a reply vide letter no. 2855 dated 04.09.2019 signed by Executive Engineer, Central Works Division, PWD B&R, Sangrur at Patiala, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 17286 dated 06.09.2019, to the show cause issued to him vide orders dated 23.07.2019, which is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Sawinder Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that he has not received the information in connection with point no. 02 (b) of his RTI request, which is regarding existing cuts/passages at Shatrana Junction in accordance with the preliminary diagram prepared.

The respondent-PIO is directed to file a written-reply in connection with the query raised by the appellant at point no. 02 (b) of the RTI request by or on the next date of hearing. He is also directed send the reply in advance to the appellant though registered post and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission on the next date of hearing to establish the fact that order of the Commission has been complied with.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 26<sup>th</sup> November, 2019(Tuersday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019



#### Manjinder Singh,

#2469, Sunny Enclave, Sector 125, Kharar, Distt. Mohali-140301(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

# **Public Information Officer**, **O/o** The Secretary,

(Regd. Post) Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda (Punjab)

## First Appellate Authority,

O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Pb., Sector 17, Chandigarh

...Respondents

# Appeal Case No. 1061 of 2019

Sh. Manjinder Singh, the appellant in person. Present : Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate, on behalf of the respondent.

## ORDER

This case was last heard on 24.07.2019 and last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to supply the certified copies of the complete information to the appellant as available in the official record by registered post and submit a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission.

The appellant, Sh. Manjinder Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that incomplete information has been given to him till date as only information in connection with point no. 01 of RTI request has been given to him.

Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally seeks an adjournment in this case.

Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the certified copies of the complete information to the appellant by registered post before the next date of hearing and submit a copy of the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the Appellant, on the next date of hearing.

After examining the documents placed on record, it emerges that the requisite information has not been supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker even after a period of seven months.

In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act is issued to Public Information Officer concerned of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI appellant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

#### Complaint Case No. 1061 of 2019

The PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte. <u>A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post</u>.

The case is <u>adjourned to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019



Balbir Aggarwal, 10904, Basant Road, Near Gurudwara Bhagwanti, Industrial Area – A, Ludhiana (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

## (Regd. Post) (No The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana (Punjab)

...Respondents

# Complaint Case No. 3803 of 2010

Present : None on behalf of the complainant.

i) Sh. Om Parkash Ahuja, Superintendent;

ii) Sh. Om Parkash, Clerk, on behalf of the respondent.

## <u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 24.07 .2019 and another opportunity was given to the to the Nodal Public Information Officer office of Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana to confirm the compliance of the order passed by Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court on 04.09.2017 in a Civil Writ Petition No. 23908 of 2011 by submitting a copy of challan/receipt through which penalty amount of Rs. 5000/- (which was imposed vide orders dated 17.08.2011) was deposited in Government Treasury by the then (two) PIOs of M. C., Ludhiana { i. e. Sh. A. K. Singla, S. E. (B & R) and Sh. H. S. Khosa, XEN(B & R) } in equal proportion and also submit a copy of Demand Draft/Cheque through which compensation (which was imposed vide orders dated 17.08.2011) was paid to the complainant, Sh. Balbir Aggarwal.

The complainant, Sh. Balbir Aggarwal, is not present in today's hearing.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that order passed by the Commission on 24.07.2019 in the name of the complainant, Sh. Balbir Aggarwal is received back as 'undelivered' through Diary No. 15445 dated 13.08.2019. It is taken on record.

Sh. Om Parkash Ahuja, Superintendent and Sh. Om Parkash, Clerk, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, seek an adjournment in this case.

#### Complaint Case No. 3803 of 2010

Last opportunity is given to the Nodal Public Information Officer office of Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana to confirm the compliance of the order passed by Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court on 04.09.2017 in a Civil Writ Petition No. 23908 of 2011 by <u>submitting a copy of challan/receipt through which</u> <u>penalty amount of Rs. 5000/- (which was imposed vide orders dated 17.08.2011) was</u> <u>deposited in Government Treasury by the then (two) PIOs of M. C., Ludhiana { i. e. Sh.</u> <u>A. K. Singla, S. E. (B & R) and Sh. H. S. Khosa, XEN(B & R) } in equal proportion and</u> <u>also submit a copy of Demand Draft/Cheque through which compensation (which was</u> <u>imposed vide orders dated 17.08.2011)</u> was paid to the complainant, Sh. Balbir <u>Aggarwal.</u>

Accordingly, <u>A copy of this order be sent through registered post in the</u> <u>name of the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana</u> to ensure the compliance of the abovesaid order under intimation to the Commission.

Another opportunity is also given to the complainant to confirm whether he has received the compensation amount of Rs. 1500/-, which was awarded to him vide orders of the Commission on 17.08.2011, or not. He is also advised to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is <u>adjourned to 26<sup>th</sup> November, 2019(Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

> (Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> September,2019

(Regd. Post)

The Commissioner(By Name), Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana (Punjab)